

1 THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 STATE OF WASHINGTON, *et al.*,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 DONALD J. TRUMP, *et al.*,

14 Defendants.

CASE NO. C25-0127-JCC

ORDER

15 This matter comes before the Court on William Nelson's motion for reconsideration of  
16 the Court's order denying his motion to intervene (Dkt. No. 57). Having considered the motion,  
17 the relevant record, and the applicable law, the Court DENIES the motion.

18 Pursuant to the Local Civil Rules, “[m]otions for reconsideration are disfavored,” and the  
19 Court “will ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the  
20 prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to its  
21 attention earlier with reasonable diligence.” LCR 7(h)(1).

22 Mr. Nelson's motion makes no showing of manifest error in the Court's prior ruling.  
23 Indeed, as with his motion to intervene (Dkt. No. 49), Mr. Nelson's motion for reconsideration  
24 fails to demonstrate why the existing parties do not adequately represent his interests. Mr. Nelson  
25 also neglects to mention any new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to  
26 the Court's attention earlier with reasonable diligence. Instead, Mr. Nelson merely presents

1 broadly stated arguments or allegations unattenuated to the case at hand. (*See generally* Dkt. No.  
2 57.) Accordingly, the Court DENIES Mr. Nelson's motion for reconsideration (Dkt. No. 57).

3

4 DATED this 28th day of January 2025.

5  
6  
7  
  
John C. Coughenour

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE